# Southampton City Council CAPITAL STRATEGY

2020/21 - 2025/26

#### **Contents**

#### Section 1 Introduction

1.1 Background

### Section 2 Capital Expenditure and Financing

- 2.1 Estimates of Capital Expenditure
- 2.2 Governance
- 2.3 Methods of Funding
- 2.4 Gross Debt and Capital Financing Requirement
- 2.5 Asset Management
- 2.6 Asset Disposal

## **Section 3 Treasury Management**

- 3.1 Background
- 3.2 Borrowing Strategy
- 3.3 Liability Benchmark
- 3.4 Affordable Borrowing Limit
- 3.5 Investment Strategy
- 3.6 Risk Management
- 3.7 Governance

## Section 4 Investments for Service Purposes

- 4.1 Background
- 4.2 Governance

#### Section 5 Commercial Activities

- 5.1 Background
- 5.2 Governance

## Section 6 Liabilities

- 6.1 Background
- 6.2 Governance

## Section 7 Revenue Budget Implications

- 7.1 Background
- 7.2 Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream
- 7.3 Sustainability

#### Section 8 Capacity and Skills

8.1 Background

#### Additional Information

- 1. MRP Strategy
- 2. Investment Strategy

	SECTION 4 INTRO	DUCTI	ONI							
1.1	SECTION 1 – INTRO	יווטטטווי	<u>ON</u>							
	Background									
1.1.1	This capital strategy gives a high-level overview of how capital expenditure, capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of local public services along with an overview of how associated risk is managed and the implications for future financial sustainability.								al	
1.1.2	The Prudential Code requires the Council to have in place a capital strategy that sets out the long term context in which capital expenditure decisions are made in order to demonstrate that capital expenditure and investment decisions are taken in line with service objectives and properly take account of stewardship, value for money, prudence, sustainability and affordability.									
1.1.3	Decisions made this year on capital and treasury management will have financial consequences for the Authority for many years into the future. They are therefore subject to both a national regulatory framework and to local policy framework, summarised within this strategy.									
	SECTION 2 - CAPITA	AL EXP	ENDITU	JRE AND F	INANC	NG				
2.1	Estimates of Capital Expenditure									
2.1.1	Capital expenditure is where the Authority spends money on assets, such as property or vehicles, which will be used for more than one year. In local government this includes spending on assets owned by other bodies, and loans and grants to other bodies enabling them to buy assets. The Authority has some limited discretion on what counts as capital expenditure, for example assets costing below £10,000 are not capitalised and are charged to revenue in year. In 2021/22 the Authority is planning capital expenditure of £179.40M, shown below:									
	Table 1: Prudential In	ndicator:	: Estima:	tes of Capi	tal Expe	nditure	in £ mill	ions		
	Capital Expenditure and Financing	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26		
		Actual	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast		

Capital Expenditure and Financing	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26
	Actual	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast
General Fund	56.04	83.53	117.46	198.88	44.83	17.92	3.83
HRA	41.05	47.36	61.94	73.99	98.05	65.55	29.62
Total Expenditure	97.09	130.88	179.40	272.86	142.88	83.47	33.45
Capital receipts	8.20	17.76	11.35	14.23	9.01	4.32	2.77
Capital Grants	31.85	45.96	72.56	60.37	24.36	2.67	0.00
Contributions	4.35	9.06	6.70	9.25	3.50	2.50	1.91
Major Repairs Allowance	19.60	19.07	20.92	21.53	22.12	22.71	23.42
Direct Revenue Financing	11.83	10.41	5.34	6.05	6.70	8.23	1.19
Council Resources - borrowing	21.26	28.63	62.53	161.43	77.19	43.05	4.16
Total Financing	97.09	130.88	179.40	272.86	142.88	83.47	33.45

Due to changes in the accounting requirements for 'right to use leases, which comes into effect on 1 April 2022, the Authority is currently assessing what impact this will have on the Capital programme, CFR and MRP liability.

2.1.2 The main General Fund capital projects are summarised below, in Table 2, by the Council's 5 key programmes.

# 2.1.3 Table 2: General Fund Major Projects By Programme

Programme	Major Project	2020/21 £M	2021/22 £M	2022/23 £M	2023/24 £M	2024/25 £M	2025/26 £M
Communities, culture & homes	Community Safety	1.40	4.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	City of Culture	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Arts & Heritage	0.18	1.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Estate Improvements - GF	0.09	1.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Sport & Recreation	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Outdoor Leisure	0.10	0.00	12.70	4.10	0.05	0.00
	Other	0.43	1.03	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Green City Charter	0.08	4.41	5.97	4.08	4.00	3.83
	Open Spaces	1.34	1.06	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
Over the Oith	Play Area Improvements	0.52	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Green City	Parks Development Works	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
	Solar Powered Compactor Bins	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
	Other	0.68	0.02	0.00	£M         £M           0         0.00         0.00	0.0	
	Bridges Programme	1.23	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
	Highways Programme	11.29	7.11	7.80	7.80	7.80	0.0
	Integrated Transport	16.89	9.18	16.38	0.00	0.00	0.0
	Fleet Investment	7.02	2.36	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.0
D. O	Flood Risk Management	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
Place Shaping	Connected Southampton	13.04	29.40	45.84	22.00	1.50	0.0
	Pavements Programme	0.74 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.12 0.00 0.10 0.00 0.12 0.00 0.00	0.50	0.0			
	Bitterne Community Hub	0.18	0.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
sustainable business	Heart of the City	0.34	1.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
	Other	0.46	0.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
	Digital & IT	5.64	5.06	1.52	1.31	1.02	0.0
Successful,	City Regeneration	1.32	0.23	26.70	0.00	0.00	0.0
sustainable	The Way we Work	0.56	3.29	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.0
	Other						0.0
	Early Years Expansion						0.0
	Primary Review & Expansion School Capital Maintenance						0.0
	Secondary Review & Expansion						0.0
Wellbeing	Newlands Hearing Centre	Description   Description	0.0				
J	SEND Review & Expansion	0.04	5.16	36.51	EM         EM         EN           0.00         0.00         0.00	0.00	0.00
	Childrens Services - Residential/Assess	0.44	1.41	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.0
	Health & Adult Social Care	0.15	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.0
	Other	0.45	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
	TOTAL	83.53	117.46	198.88	44.83	17.92	3.8

The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) is a ring-fenced account which ensures that council housing does not subsidise, or is itself subsidised, by other local services. HRA capital expenditure is therefore recorded separately and includes the building of new homes. The main programmes are shown below:

Table 3: HRA Major Projects By Programme

Programme	Major Project	2020/21 £M	2021/22 £M	2022/23 £M	2023/24 £M	2024/25 £M	2025/26 £M
	Improving Quality of Homes	4.83	7.57	6.25	7.37	7.73	7.73
	Making Homes Energy Efficient	5.89	17.94	22.84	12.17	13.11	12.68
Communities, culture &	Making Homes Safe	13.14	11.97	5.98	6.16	1.35	1.28
homes	Estate Regeneration	19.70	19.09	34.48	68.35	39.12	3.68
	Supporting Communities	1.69	2.51	2.40	1.98	2.22	2.22
	Supporting Independent Living	2.11	2.87	2.02	2.02	2.02	2.02
	TOTAL	47.35	61.94	73.99	98.05	65.55	29.62

#### 2.2 Governance

Service managers bid annually in November/December to include projects in the Authority's capital programme. Bids are collated by corporate finance who calculate the financing cost (which can be nil if the project is fully externally financed). The Executive Management Board (EMB) appraises all bids based on a comparison of service priorities against financing costs and makes recommendations to Cabinet members. The final capital programme is then presented to Cabinet and to Council in late February each year.

The Capital Strategy 2020/21 to 2025/26 is incorporated into the Revenue Budget 2021/22, Medium Term Financial Forecast 2021/22 to 2024/25 and Capital Programme 2020/21 to 2025/26 report, presented to Council on 24 February 2021.

## 2.3 Methods of Funding

# 2.3.1 Use of Leasing

The council does have the option to lease assets utilising an operating lease arrangement, however with the flexibility afforded through Prudential Borrowing this source of financing is less attractive.

## 2.3.2 Local Enterprise Partnership Funding

Priorities are largely as set by the Government Department concerned and jobs created, private sector leverage and deliverability. The process to award funding is led by the LEP Executive and agreed by Board. The lead accountable body is Portsmouth City Council.

# 2.3.3 Tax Increment Financing (TIF)

The Local Government Finance Act 2012 which received Royal assent on 1 November 2012 provided the legislative framework for the introduction of TIF, as an incentive to grow local economies and attract new businesses to areas.

In essence TIF allows councils to borrow against future increases in Business Rate revenues generated as a result of local developments and growth. By capturing predicted increases in income from business rates, it will create an income stream that can be used to borrow against, repay existing borrowing incurred under existing borrowing powers or to reimburse costs to a developer for the initial lay out of infrastructure work. This alternative financing for the infrastructure work is aimed at kick-starting regeneration and thereby supporting locally driven development and growth.

# 2.3.4 S106 Agreements

S106 agreements are made with developers / landowners as part of the planning approval process to ensure that new development mitigates its own impact and provides the necessary site specific infrastructure to support it.

These contributions are site specific or can be 'pooled' for a maximum of 5 site specific projects. Any contributions received are 'ring-fenced' for the purpose as set out in the relevant S106 agreement and are applied to fund schemes within the relevant capital programme once an eligible scheme has been identified.

S106 contribution agreements have covered all types of infrastructure including transport, affordable housing, play areas, open spaces, playing fields, public realm and public art. However, since the council adopted CIL the planning obligations sought within S106 agreements have been scaled back to deal with only site specific requirements, as required by the CIL Regulations. Pooled contributions previously sought for strategic transport, public realm and public open space related obligations are now dealt with by CIL.

The S106 contributions are time limited in that if they are not spent within an agreed timescale, typically 5 - 10 years, dependent on what has been agreed in the S106 agreement and any funds not spent in line with the agreement would have to be repaid to the developer, which, may include interest.

With the exception of funding for affordable housing the other pooled S106 obligations, such as Strategic Transport, Public Realm and Public Open Space will become zero over time as the CIL continues to be applied.

# 2.3.5 Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)

CIL was adopted by the council in September 2013. CIL contributions are determined by set rates as detailed within the council's CIL Charging Schedule, and based on the amount of floor space being created by the development. CIL can be used to fund a wide range of infrastructure that is needed as a result of new development but is not site specific, giving more flexibility in where the funding can be used in geographical terms.

The CIL does not replace the requirement of S106 contributions. S106 contributions will still be relevant and will be sought alongside CIL.

The Planning Act and subsequent Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended) says that authorities can only spend CIL on providing infrastructure to support the development of their areas. This includes flood

defence, open space, recreation and sport, roads and transport facilities, education and health facilities. However, it does not include affordable housing, which will continue to be funded by S106 obligations. In addition, SCC have opted to continue to seek S106 contributions for transport. All other S106s contributions are now agreed through CIL.

The Localism Act also clarifies that CIL can be spent on the ongoing costs of providing infrastructure, including maintenance works. The funding could be used towards a significant number of the council's current programmes i.e. School Expansion and the Roads Programme.

The CIL funding can be used to fund existing schemes within the current General Fund capital programme that meet the definition of infrastructure. The previous agreed approach is to treat the CIL monies as a central pot of funding to be allocated as overall council resources to fund the capital programme.

However, it should be noted that 15% (25% if a Neighbourhood Plan is in place) of receipts need to be applied to schemes in the ward that the receipt originated from, in consultation and agreement with the local community.

# 2.3.6 Private Finance Initiative (PFI)

Although PFI schemes are not shown within the capital programme as they are not financed by capital resources, PFI is a means by which the council can facilitate major new infrastructure projects. PFI schemes involve partnerships between the public and private sector to fund public sector infrastructure projects with private capital.

Under PFI, a private sector contractor agrees to accept the risks associated with the design, construction and maintenance of the asset over the contract term, which is typically for a 25 year period. The public sector partner pays an annual fixed price during the contract term, part of which is subject to inflation. At the end of the term, the asset is wholly owned by the council.

No additional PFI projects are anticipated. Any such proposals would be presented to the EMB for evaluation before presentation for Members approval.

## 2.4 Gross Debt and the Capital Financing Requirement

- Debt is only a temporary source of finance, since loans and leases must be repaid, and this is therefore replaced over time by other financing, usually from revenue which is known as minimum revenue provision (MRP). Alternatively, proceeds from selling capital assets (known as capital receipts) may be used to replace debt finance. The Authority's full MRP strategy is detailed in annex 2.3(a).
- The Authority's cumulative outstanding amount of debt finance is measured by the capital financing requirement (CFR). This increases with new debt-financed capital expenditure and reduces with MRP and capital receipts used to replace debt. The CFR is expected to increase by £48M during 2021/22.
- 2.4.3 CFR is a key indicator of prudence. In order to ensure that over the medium term debt will only be for a capital purpose, the Council should ensure that debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional capital financing requirement for the current and next two financial years. Based on the above figures for expenditure and financing, the Authority's estimated CFR is as detailed in table 4 below.

2.4.4 Table 4: Prudential Indicator: Estimates of Capital Financing Requirement in £M

Capital Financing Requirement	31/03/2020	31/03/2021	31/03/2022	31/03/2023	31/03/2024	31/03/2025
	Actual £M	Forecast £M	Forecast £M	Forecast £M	Forecast £M	Forecast £M
Balance Brought forward	334.02	339.58	349.95	374.32	490.16	492.08
New Borrowing	17.26	20.28	35.78	128.00	16.97	12.75
MRP	(6.06)	(6.50)	(7.59)	(8.66)	(11.40)	(12.01)
Appropriations (to) from HRA	(2.40)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Movement in Other Liabilities	(3.24)	(3.41)	(3.82)	(3.50)	(3.65)	(4.34)
MRP Holiday	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total General Fund Debt	339.58	349.95	374.32	490.16	492.08	488.48
HRA	169.13	177.49	201.07	232.69	291.11	321.41
Total CFR	508.71	527.44	575.39	722.85	783.19	809.89
Estimated Debt	345.06	359.90	457.33	609.72	670.28	695.13
Under / (Over) Borrowed	163.65	167.54	118.06	113.13	112.91	114.76

<sup>\*</sup> Appropriation of Land to the HRA of circa £2M at 31/03/2021 subject to confirmation

## 2.4.5 Table 5 – Current and Estimated Movement in Gross Debt £M

Gross Debt	31/03/2020	31/03/2021	31/03/2022	31/03/2023	31/03/2024	31/03/2025
	Actual	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast
	£M	£M	£M	£M	£M	£M
Borrowing (Long Term GF)	109.59	107.63	185.33	309.62	315.42	316.11
Borrowing (Long Term HRA)	157.28	177.47	201.02	232.62	291.03	319.54
Borrowing (Short Term)	10.36	10.36	10.36	10.36	10.36	10.36
Total Borrowing	277.23	295.46	396.71	552.60	616.81	646.01
Finance leases and Private Finance Initiatives	54.00	50.97	47.52	44.38	41.09	37.11
Transferred Debt	13.83	13.47	13.10	12.74	12.38	12.01
Total Other Debt	67.83	64.44	60.62	57.12	53.47	49.12
Total Debt	345.06	359.90	457.33	609.72	670.28	695.13

- There is a significant difference between the gross external borrowing requirement and the net external borrowing requirement represented by the Council's level of balances, reserves, provisions and working capital as the Council's strategy has been to only borrow to the level of its net borrowing requirement. The reasons for this are to reduce credit risk, take pressure off the Council's lending list and also to avoid the cost of carry existing in the current interest rate environment.
- Given the significant cuts to public expenditure and in particular to local government funding the Council's borrowing strategy continues to address the key issue of affordability without compromising the longer-term stability of the debt portfolio. With Short-term interest rates currently much lower than long-term rates, it is likely

	to be more cost effective in the short term to either use internal resources, or to
	borrow short-term loans instead.
2.4.8	By doing so, the Council is able to reduce net borrowing costs (despite foregone investment income) and reduce overall treasury risk. The benefits of internal and short-term borrowing will be monitored regularly against the potential for incurring additional costs by deferring borrowing into future years when long-term borrowing rates are forecast to rise modestly. Arlingclose will assist the Council with this 'cost of carry' and breakeven analysis.
2.4.9	Any change to the strategy would require approval by full council and additional
	Treasury Training would be provided to assist members in understanding the risks
	and implications of any change to the current strategy
2.5	Asset Management
2.5.1	To ensure that capital assets continue to be of long-term use, the Authority has engaged a specialist to assist with the production of a comprehensive corporate asset management strategy. It is envisaged that the strategy will allow the City Council to plan effectively for its property needs now and in the future, focusing on:
	<ul> <li>what property assets the City Council owns and uses;</li> </ul>
	how property is used by the City Council;
	<ul> <li>how the City Council's property needs might change and evolve over time;</li> <li>ensuring where possible that the City Council always has the right property fit for purpose.</li> </ul>
	This work is ongoing and the Authority's asset management strategy should be available in 2021/22.
2.5.2	When determining the capital programme and allocating resources the Council will also have regard to:
	<ul> <li>The preparation of the statutory Local Transport Plan, and Transport Asset Management Plan (TAMP);</li> <li>The preparation of the Asset Management Plan for Schools and Council Buildings to ensure health and safety issues have been dealt with appropriately; and</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>appropriately; and</li> <li>The council's obligation to finance adaptations to the homes of disabled residents. Funding is passported directly to the Better Care Fund along with the Adults Personal Social Services grant. It has been agreed with the CCG that these monies will be retained by the council, as part of the S75 pooling arrangement outlined in the Medium Term Financial Forecast (Annex 1.1).</li> </ul>
2.6	Asset Disposals
2.6.1	When a capital asset is no longer needed, it may be sold so that the proceeds, known as capital receipts, can be spent on new assets or to repay debt. The Authority is currently also permitted to spend capital receipts on service transformation projects until 2021/22 (see paragraph 2.6.3). Repayments of capital
	grants, loans and investments also generate capital receipts. There are no planned significant asset disposals.

2.6.2 The current strategy for the use of capital receipts is to:

- Provide for an MRP holiday to the value of external loan payments generating a revenue budget saving;
- Consider forgoing the immediate capital receipt for longer term and sustainable income stream through development of sites;
- Consider flexible use to meet the costs of reform projects as detailed below; and
- Assume receipts from sale of assets not currently on the market will not be taken into consideration when assessing the total value of receipts available to fund the capital programme.

# 2.6.3 Flexible use of Capital Receipts

The 2015 Comprehensive Spend Review (CSR) announced that local authorities will be allowed to spend up to 100% of capital receipts (excluding Right to Buy receipts) on the revenue costs of reform projects. Instead of holding assets that could be made surplus, councils will be able to sell them to reinvest in their services. Guidance relating to specific conditions, number of years that this will be offered and the qualifying criteria for a 'reform' project was issued as part of the Final Local Government Settlement on 11<sup>th</sup> March 2016. The key points included:

- The direction only relates to new receipts received in the period 1<sup>st</sup> April 2016 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2019 that could be applied to meet the revenue costs of reform incurred in the same timeframe (this has now been extended to March 2022);
- The key criteria to use when deciding whether expenditure can be funded by the capital receipts flexibility is that it is forecast to generate ongoing savings to the authority's net service expenditure and is expenditure on a project where incurring up-front costs will generate ongoing savings; and
- Individual authorities demonstrate the highest standards of accountability and transparency. The guidance recommends that each authority should prepare a strategy that includes separate disclosure of the individual projects that will be funded or part funded through capital receipts flexibility and that the strategy is approved by full council or the equivalent.
- Examples of qualifying expenditure include the sharing of back office and
  administrative services; investment in service reform feasibility work;
  collaboration between central and local government to free up land for
  economic use; funding the cost of service reconfiguration or restructuring
  leading to ongoing efficiencies; sharing Chief Executives; driving a digital
  approach; aggregating procurement on common goods; improving systems
  and processes to tackle fraud; setting up commercial or alternative delivery
  models to deliver services more efficiently or increase revenue income;
  and integrating public facing services across two or more public sector
  bodies.

In November 2020 the council agreed a major investment in an IT strategy that will contribute to transforming services and generating savings. To help deliver this IT programme the council intends keep under review the opportunity provided by the government to flexibly use capital receipts to invest in and fund this transformation of services.

HRA Right to Buy Receipts In most cases there will be no ring fencing of capital receipts to specific projects. One exception to this is the retained Right to Buy (RTB) receipts held by the council under the agreement signed in June 2012 and amended in June 2013. Under this agreement any retained RTB receipts, which are not used for the specific purpose of providing replacement affordable housing, must be returned to MHCLG.
SECTION 3 - TREASURY MANAGEMENT
Background
Treasury management is concerned with keeping sufficient but not excessive cash available to meet the Authority's spending needs, while managing the risks involved. Surplus cash is invested until required, while a shortage of cash will be met by borrowing, to avoid excessive credit balances or overdrafts in the bank current account. The Authority is typically cash rich in the short-term as revenue income is received before it is spent, but cash poor in the long-term as capital expenditure is incurred before being financed. The revenue cash surpluses are offset against capital cash shortfalls to reduce overall borrowing.
Borrowing strategy
The Authority's main objectives when borrowing are to achieve a low but certain cost of finance while retaining flexibility should plans change in future. These objectives are often conflicting, and the Authority therefore seeks to strike a balance between cheap short-term loans (currently available at around 0.10%) and long-term fixed rate loans where the future cost is known but higher (currently 1.0 to 2.0%).  Projected levels of the Authority's total outstanding debt (which comprises borrowing, PFI liabilities, leases are shown below, compared with the capital financing requirement (see above).  Statutory guidance is that debt should remain below the capital financing requirement, except in the short-term. As can be seen from table 6, the Authority
expects to comply with this in the medium term.
Liability benchmark
To compare the Authority's actual borrowing against an alternative strategy, a liability benchmark has been calculated showing the lowest risk level of borrowing. This assumes that cash and investment balances are kept to a minimum level of £10M at each year-end. This benchmark is currently £277.7M and is forecast to rise to £587.8M over the next three years.

Table 6: Liability Benchmark in £M									
	31-Mar-20	31-Mar-21	31-Mar-21	31-Mar-22	31-Mar-23	31-Mar-24	31-Mar-25		
	Actual Forecast		Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast		
			Movement						
			in year						
	£M	£M	£M	£M	£M	£M	£M		
Loans CFR	440.88	463.00	22.12	514.76	665.74	729.74	760.78		
Less Usable Reserves	(174.55)	(178.40)	(3.85)	(128.87)	(123.89)	(123.89)	(72.97)		
Less Working Capital Surplus	(58.01)	(58.01)	0.00	(58.01)	(58.01)	(58.01)	(58.01)		

# 3.4 Affordable Borrowing Limit

Minimum Borrowing Need

Less Committed External Borrowing

Plus Minimum Investments

**Liability Benchmark** 

3.4.3

The Authority is legally obliged to set an affordable borrowing limit (also termed the authorised limit for external debt) each year and to keep it under review. In line with statutory guidance, a lower "operational boundary" is also set as a warning level should debt approach the limit.

40.00

266.59

(231.59)

34.99

(29.34)

(11.07)

45.28

34.20

40.00

367.88

(222.84)

145.04

40.00

587.84

(210.84)

376.99

40.00

523.84

(216.84)

307.00

40.00

669.80

(204.84)

464.95

69.34

277.66

(276.87)

0.79

The Council has an integrated treasury management strategy and manages its treasury position in accordance with its approved strategy and practice. Overall borrowing will therefore arise as a consequence of all the financial transactions of the Council and not just those arising from capital spending reflected in the CFR.

The *Authorised Limit* sets the maximum level of external borrowing on a gross basis (i.e. excluding investments) for the Council. It is measured on a daily basis against all external borrowing items on the Balance Sheet (i.e. long and short term borrowing, overdrawn bank balances and long term liabilities). This Prudential Indicator separately identifies borrowing from other long term liabilities such as finance leases.

The Authorised Limit, shown in table 7, has been set on the estimate of the most likely, prudent but not worst case scenario with sufficient headroom to allow for unusual cash movements, for example a complete debt restructure requiring monies to be borrowed in advance of repayment of existing debt.

Table 7 – Authorised Limit for External Debt £M

Authorised Limit for External Debt	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25
Borrowing	735	910	945	1140
Other Long-term Liabilities	70	65	65	60
Total	805	975	1010	1200

3.4.4	The <i>Operational Boundary</i> is linked directly to the Council's estimates of the
	CFR and estimates of other day to day cash flow requirements. This indicator is
	based on the same estimates as the Authorised Limit reflecting the most likely,
	prudent but not worst case scenario but without the additional headroom included
	within the Authorised Limit which allows for a full debt restructure if a favourable
	opportunity arose.

# Table 8 – Operational Boundary for External Debt £M

Operational Boundary for External Debt	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25
Borrowing	640	785	805	850
Other Long-term Liabilities	65	65	60	55
Total	705	850	865	905

The Executive Director for Finance, Commercialisation & S151 Officer has delegated authority, within the above limits for any individual year, to effect movement between the separately agreed limits for borrowing and other long term liabilities. Decisions will be based on the outcome of financial option appraisals and best value considerations. Council will be notified of any use of this delegated authority.

## 3.5 Treasury Investment Strategy

3.4.5

- Treasury investments arise from receiving cash before it is paid out again. Investments made for service reasons or for pure financial gain are not generally considered to be part of treasury management.
- The Authority's policy on treasury investments is to prioritise security and liquidity over yield, which is to focus on minimising risk rather than maximising returns. Cash that is likely to be spent in the near term is invested securely, for example with the government, other local authorities or selected high-quality banks, to minimise the risk of loss. Money that will be held for longer terms is invested more widely, including in bonds, shares and property, to balance the risk of loss against the risk of receiving returns below inflation. Both near-term and longer-term investments may be held in pooled funds, where an external fund manager makes decisions on which particular investments to buy and the Authority may request its money back at short notice.

Further details on treasury investments can be found in the treasury management strategy.

https://www.southampton.gov.uk/modernGov/documents/s49909/Enc.%201%20TREASURY%20MANAGEMENT%20STRATEGY%20202122.pdf

#### 3.6 Risk Management

The effective management and control of risk are prime objectives of the Authority's treasury management activities. The treasury management strategy therefore sets out various indicators and limits to constrain the risk of unexpected losses and details the extent to which financial derivatives may be used to manage treasury risks.

3.7	Governance					
3.7.1	Decisions on treasury management investment and borrowing are made daily and are therefore delegated to the Executive Director for Finance, Commercialisation & S151 Officer and staff, who must act in line with the treasury management strategy to be approved by Governance committee on 15 <sup>th</sup> February 2021. Quarterly reports on treasury management activity are presented to cabinet. The Governance committee is responsible for scrutinising treasury management decisions.					
	SECTION 4 - INVESTMENTS FOR SERVICE PURPOSES					
4.1	Background					
4.1.1	The Authority makes investments to assist local public services, including making loans to and buying shares in local service providers to promote economic growth. In light of the public service objective, the Authority is willing to take more risk than with treasury investments, however it still plans for such investments to break-even after all costs.					
	Currently the Council does not have any investments for service purposes, the criteria for potential investments in the future is set out in the investment strategy (annex 2.3(b)).					
4.2	Governance					
4.2.1	sisions on service investments are made by the relevant service manager in sultation with the Executive Director for Finance, Commercialisation & S151 cer and must meet the criteria and limits laid down in the investment strategy. It loans and shares are capital expenditure and purchases will therefore also be roved as part of the capital programme. Further details on service investments given in of the investment strategy.					
	SECTION 5 - COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES					
5.1	Background					
5.1.1	With central government financial support for local public services declining, the Authority invests in commercial property for financial gain. Total commercial investments are currently valued at £24.3M, consisting of 3 properties providing a net return after all costs of 1.97%.  With financial return being the main objective, the Authority accepts higher risk on commercial investment, then with treasure investments. The principal risk					
	commercial investment than with treasury investments. The principal risk exposures include reduced income due to rent voids or rent reductions and fall in capital value due to market conditions/demands. These risks are managed by performing credit checks on potential tenants, having a reserve set aside for voids and maintenance costs and regular monitoring to identify potential risks as early as possible.					
	The government has changed the terms of borrowing from the Public Works Loans Board to prohibit borrowing for investment purposes. With this and considering the					

	current economic climate the £200M Property Investment Fund has been removed					
	from the capital programme.					
5.2	Governance					
5.2.1	Decisions on commercial investments are made by the Head of Property and Executive Director for Finance, Commercialisation & S151 Officer, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Finance & Income Generation and the Leader of the Council in line with the criteria and limits in the Property Investment Strategy approved by Council. Property and most other commercial investments are also capital expenditure and purchases will therefore also be approved as part of the capital programme. However, see comment above with respect to the government changing the terms of borrowing from the Public Works Loan Board.  Further details on commercial investments and limits on their use are detailed in the investment strategy (annex 2.3(b)).					
	SECTION 6 – LIABILITIES					
6.1	Background					
6.1.1	In addition to debt detailed above, the Authority has set aside an earmarked Insurance reserve of £0.35M to cover risks of a potential liability, of £1.39M as at					
6.2	31 <sup>st</sup> March 2020, under a Scheme of Arrangement with Municipal Mutual Insurance. <b>Governance</b>					
6.2.1	Decisions on incurring new discretional liabilities are taken by service managers in					
<u> </u>	consultation with the Executive Director for Finance, Commercialisation & S151 Officer. The risk of liabilities crystallising and requiring payment is monitored by corporate finance and reported to the appropriate committee. New liabilities exceeding £2M are reported to full council for approval/notification as appropriate. Further details on liabilities and guarantees are on pages 103 and 104 of the 2019/20 statement of accounts.					
	SECTION 7- REVENUE BUDGET IMPLICATIONS					
7.1	Background					
7.1.1	Although capital expenditure is not charged directly to the revenue budget, interest payable on loans, MRP and loans fund repayment are charged to revenue, offset by any investment income receivable. The net annual charge is known as financing costs; this is compared to the net revenue stream i.e. the amount funded from Council Tax, business rates and general government grants.					
7.2	Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream					
7.2.1	This is an indicator of affordability and highlights the revenue implications of existing and proposed capital expenditure by identifying the proportion of the revenue budget required to meet borrowing costs. The upper limit for this ratio is currently set at 10% and will increase to 15% to allow for known borrowing decisions in the next five years and to allow for additional borrowing affecting major schemes. The table below shows the likely position based on the proposed capital programme					

7.2.2	Table 10: Prudential Indicator: Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream %								
	Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream	2019/20 Actual	2020/21 Forecast	2021/22 Forecast	2022/23 Forecast	2023/24 Forecast	2024/25 Forecast		
		%	%	%	%	%	%		
	General Fund	7.97	8.45	10.76	9.98	11.39	11.89		
	HRA	7.20	6.48	11.59	10.67	11.78	10.04		
	Total	8.79	9.01	12.36	11.21	12.56	12.65		

This indicator is not so relevant for the HRA, especially since the introduction of self-financing, as financing costs have been built into their 40 year business plan, including the voluntary payment of MRP. No problem is seen with the affordability but if problems were to arise then the HRA would have the option not to make principle repayments in the early years, which it has currently opted to do.

# 7.3 **Sustainability**

Due to the very long-term nature of capital expenditure and financing, the revenue budget implications of expenditure incurred in the next few years will extend for up to 40 years into the future. The Executive Director for Finance, Commercialisation & S151 Officer is satisfied that the proposed capital programme is prudent, affordable and sustainable.

## **SECTION 8 - CAPACITY AND SKILLS**

## 8.1 **Background**

The Authority employs professionally qualified and experienced staff in senior positions with responsibility for making capital expenditure, borrowing and investment decisions.

For example, the Executive Director for Finance, Commercialisation & S151 Officer is a qualified accountant with extensive years' experience within local government at a senior level. The Authority pays for junior staff to study towards relevant professional qualifications including CIPFA, ACCA, AAT, ACT (treasury), ATT (tax). Where Authority staff do not have the knowledge and skills required, use is made of external advisers and consultants that are specialists in their field. The Authority currently employs Arlingclose Limited as treasury management advisers. This approach is more cost effective than employing such staff directly, and ensures that the Authority has access to knowledge and skills commensurate with its risk appetite.